



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7393/2025 & CM APPL. 33173/2025

HANUMAN YADAV & ORS.Petitioners

Through: Ms. Parijat, Advocate.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Ms. Puja S. Kalra, Standing Counsel
for R-MCD with Mr. Virendra Singh,
Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

23.12.2025

%

1. It is not in dispute that petitioners are vending based on Certificate of Vending ("COV") under which the type of vending activity permitted is *food/snacks with gas cylinder/fire*.
2. In view of the use of *gas cylinder/fire*, petitioners cannot be forced to be a mobile vendor, as per the conditions of COV.
3. That being so, we hereby declare that in case of use of *gas cylinder /fire* by petitioners, they should not be termed as a mobile vendor.
4. However, we make it clear that if so desired, the respondent may take out proceedings for changing the type of vending in COV, which is held by petitioners, so as to have the vending activity of petitioners in accordance



with the provisions of Street Vendors (Protection of Livelihood and Regulation of Street Vending), Act, 2014.

5. With above observations, the present petition is disposed of.
6. Pending applications (if any) are rendered infructuous.
7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

ANISH DAYAL, J

DECEMBER 23, 2025/RK/sp